

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE CONFIRMATION NO. 10/018,240 04/08/2002 Michelle Marguerite Grundy 6825.US 8802 **EXAMINER** 26850 7590 02/09/2004 MARY M. KRINSKY, Ph. D., J.D. LILLING, HERBERT J **PATENT ATTORNEY** ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 79 TRUMBULL STREET NEW HAVEN, CT 06511 1651

DATE MAILED: 02/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N	10.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/018,240	,	GRUNDY ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	HERBERT J		1651	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, hation. rys, a reply within the statutory ry period will apply and will exp by statute, cause the application	nowever, may a reply be timel minimum of thirty (30) days voire SIX (6) MONTHS from the on to become ABANDONED	ly filed will be considered timel te mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4-8-02 \$ 105 :2-07-01 (2865)				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) §	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1.20 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1.20 are subject to restriction	vithdrawn from consic			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been re cuments have been re he priority documents Bureau (PCT Rule 17	eceived. eceived in Application have been received 7.2(a)).	n No I in this National	Stage
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)	Interview Summary (F		
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) PTO-1449 or PTO-1449 or PTO-1449		=		D-152)

Art Unit: 1651

1. Receipt is acknowledged of the preliminary amendment filed April 08, 2002.

- 2. Claims 1-20 are pending in this application, which is a 371 of PCT GB00/02233.
- 3. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim 1, drawn to a product capable of having one or more properties as stated.

Group II, claim 8, drawn to a method drawn to a product of claim 4.

Group III, claim 13, drawn to a composition comprising a proteoglycan selected from Marthasterias glacialis.

Group IV, claim 13, drawn to drawn to a composition comprising a proteoglycan selected from Porania pulvillus.

Group V, claim 13, drawn to a composition comprising a proteoglycan selected from Ophiocomina nigra.

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

i. anti-fouling properties comprising the product of claim 1.

ii. anti-adhesive properties comprising the product of claim 1.

iii. anti-inflammatory properties comprising the product of claim 1.

iv. a pharmaceutical comprising the product of claim 1.

v. composition of claim 13 is a coating.

v. composition of claim 13 is a self-polishable antifoulant.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons since the claims do not have the same properties. In addition, there are several references that clearly indicates that the claimed inventions lack the same special technical features, see the IDS statement page 1-2 which three references clearly teaches that the broad claimed inventions lack special technical features.

Application/Control Number: 10/018,240 Page 4

Art Unit: 1651

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must 4..

include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be

traversed (37 CFR 1.143). Applicant is requested to elect one of the above inventions

and to indicate which claim(s) are clearly within the scope of the claimed inventions. In

accordance with PCT rules, Applicant is only entitled to the first product-therefore if

Applicant submits any indication of elected species, it is requested that the order of the

claimed species will be carefully considered for examination.

5. No claim is allowed.

6. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the

specification.

7. inquiry concerning this Anv communication communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Lilling whose telephone number is 571-272-0918 and Fax Number is for applications Before Final (703) 872-9306 and After Final for applications is 703-872-9307 or SPE Michael Wityshyn whose telephone number is 571-272-0926. Examiner can be reached Monday-Thursday from about 5:30 A.M. to about 3:00 P.M. Any inquiry of a general

nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

H.J.Lilling: HJL (703) 308-2034 Art Unit 1651 February 02, 2004

Dr. Hefbert J. Lilling Primary Examiner

Huhr Secrity

Group 1600 Art Unit 1651